COMMENTS ON BH Simplification Phase 1 Rec'd as of 05/09/16

Item	Rule Cite	Comment	Comment	Analysis/
#	Line #	From and		Recommendation
		Date rec'd.		
1.	R9-21-	Shawna	While this rule includes Physician Assistants under the	This suggestion was not within the scope of the
	204G1 and	Boyle	definition of "medical practitioner," it only allows those	1 st phase of this rulemaking. The comment has
	G2	05/09/16	under the definition of medical practitioner to order	been noted and will be considered during the next
		ASAPA	restraint/seclusion in specific instances. Ordering	phase.
		AZ State	restraint and seclusion is within the scope of practice of	
		Association	a Physician Assistant as delegated by their supervising	
		of Physician	physician.	
		Assistants	In order to allow efficiency in patient care and to allow	
			physician assistants to practice to their fullest capability, I	
			would like to request that the following changes be made	
			to section R9-21-204: That G.(1)(a) and G.(2)(a) include	
			Physician Assistants specifically. And that item	
			$G_{i}(1)(b)(i)$ and $G_{i}(2)(b)(i)$ be revised to state "medical	
			practitioner" in order to include Physician Assistants	